E-FILED: 2/20/13

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MARIA MENDOZA		
	CASE NO. <u>5-12-cv-06225-HRL</u>	
Plaintiff(s),		
v. COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA, ET AL.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS	
Defendant(s)	/	
Counsel report that they hav following stipulation pursuant to Ci	re met and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the vil L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:	
The parties agree to participate in th	e following ADR process:	
Court Processes: Non-binding Arbitra Early Neutral Evalua Mediation (ADR L.R	ation (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5)	
appreciably more likely to meet their	early settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is ir needs than any other form of ADR must participate in an of file this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for Local Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)	
Private Process: Private ADR (please	identify process and provider)	
	session by: Iline (The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order an ADR process unless otherwise ordered.)	
other requested dead	other requested deadline June 28, 2013	
Dated: 2/19/13	Attorney for Plaintiff	
Dated: 2/15/13	/s/ Valerie Ly Attorney for Defendant	

[DDOD	OCEDI	ORDER
LINOI	<u>ן ששטט</u>	OKDEN

The parties' stipulation is adopted and IT IS SO ORDERED.
The parties' stipulation is modified as follows, and IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 20, 2013

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATEJUDGE

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Mediation."